

The 'quantum dialogue' can be eavesdropped under the intercept-and-resend attack

ZhongXiao Man

Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China

*Email:zxman@wipm.ac.cn

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Abstract

In this comment we point out that the 'quantum dialogue' (Phys. Lett. A (in press)) can be eavesdropped under the intercept-and-resend attack. We also give a revised control mode to detect this attack.

PACS : 03.67.-a, 03.65.Ta, 89.70.+c

Very recently, an entanglement-based protocol for two people to simultaneously exchange their messages has been proposed by Ba An Nguyen [1]. The original idea has been presented in Ref. [2,3] earlier. In Ref.[1], Nguyen claims that his protocol is asymptotically secure against the disturbance attack, the intercept-and-resend attack and the entangle-and-measure attack. In this comment, we show this protocol is insecure under the intercept-and-resend attack and we give a revised protocol to detect this attack at the same time.

In Nguyen's protocol [1], Bob first produces a large enough number of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) pairs, all in the state $|\Psi_{0,0}\rangle_{ht} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow\rangle_h|\uparrow\rangle_t + |\uparrow\rangle_h|\downarrow\rangle_t)$, where h stands for "home", t for "travel" while $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$ characterize two degrees of freedom of a qubit. Bob encodes his bits (k_n, l_n) ($k_n, l_n \in \{0, 1\}$) by applying an operation C_{k_n, l_n} on the state $|\Psi_{0,0}\rangle_{ht}$, keeps one qubit (home qubit) with him and sends another (travel qubit) to Alice. Then Bob lets Alice know that. Alice confirms Bob that she received a qubit. Alice encodes her bits (i_n, j_n) ($i_n, j_n \in \{0, 1\}$) by performing an operation C_{i_n, j_n} on this travel qubit, then sends it back to Bob. When Bob receives this encoded travel qubit he performs a Bell basis measurement on this qubit pair and waits for Alice to tell him that was a run in message mode (MM) or in control mode (CM). In MM run, Bob decode Alice's bits and announces his Bell basis measurement result (x_n, y_n) to let Alice decode his bits. In CM run, Alice reveals her encoding value to Bob to check the security of their dialogue.

However this security checking can not detect Eve's intercept-and-resend attack. Let us suppose Eve prepares a number of EPR pairs in one of the four Bell states. Then Eve intercepts the travel qubit which has encoded Bob's bits (k_n, l_n) and replaces it with a qubit in her prepared EPR pair. She sends this *fake* travel qubit to Alice and retains another with her. Although Alice confirms Bob that she received a qubit, she can not distinguish this qubit whether it is the original qubit or the fake qubit. Alice encodes her bits (i_n, j_n) on this *fake* qubit and sends it back to Bob. Then Eve intercepts this encoded *fake* qubit and performs a Bell basis measurement on it and the retained qubit. Since Eve knows the state of her prepared EPR pair, she can conclude Alice's bits (i_n, j_n) and the encoding operation C_{i_n, j_n} . And then Eve performs a same operation as Alice

on the original travel qubit and sends it back to Bob. Consequently, if it is a MM run, after Bob announces publicly his Bell basis measurement result (x_n, y_n) , Eve can deduce Bob's bits: $k_n = |x_n - i_n|, l_n = |y_n - j_n|$. Hence, in the MM run, Eve eavesdrops completely the contents of the Bob and Alice's dialogue. Actually, Eve replaces Alice to perform the encoding operation C_{i_n, j_n} on the travel qubit, as a result, when Alice publicly reveals the value (i_n, j_n) for Bob to check eavesdropping, both $i_n = |x_n - k_n|$ and $j_n = |y_n - l_n|$ still hold. Accordingly, in the CM run the legitimate users, Alice and Bob, can not detect this intercept-and-resend eavesdropping.

Now we give a revised control mode (RCM) to detect this intercept-and-resend eavesdropping. At first, we define the four Bell states as follows.

$$|\Psi_{0,0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle + |1\rangle|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle|+\rangle - |-\rangle|-\rangle), \quad (1)$$

$$|\Psi_{0,1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle - |1\rangle|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle|-\rangle - |-\rangle|+\rangle), \quad (2)$$

$$|\Psi_{1,0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle + |1\rangle|1\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle|+\rangle + |-\rangle|-\rangle), \quad (3)$$

$$|\Psi_{1,1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle - |1\rangle|1\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle|-\rangle + |-\rangle|+\rangle), \quad (4)$$

where $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle), |-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$.

At the beginning, Bob prepares some EPR pairs in the state $|\Psi_{0,0}\rangle$. Suppose that

Alice's message = $\{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_N, j_N)\}$

Bob's message = $\{(k_1, l_1), (k_2, l_2), \dots, (k_N, l_N)\}$

Bob encodes his bits (k_n, l_n) on the EPR pair $|\Psi_{0,0}\rangle$ by performing an operation C_{k_n, l_n} on one qubit. Then Bob sends one qubit to Alice (travel qubit) and keeps another with him (home qubit). Alice confirms Bob that she received a qubit. In the RCM run, Alice and Bob check eavesdropping by following procedure: (a) Alice chooses randomly one of the two sets of measuring basis (MB), i.e., $\mathcal{B}_z = \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_x = \{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$, to measure the travel qubit. (b) Alice tells Bob which MB she has chosen and the outcomes of her measurement. (c) Bob uses the same measuring basis as Alice to measure the home qubit and checks with the results of Alice. If no eavesdropping exists, their results should be correlated according to Eq.(1-4). As an example, if $k_n = 0$ and $l_n = 1$, Bob applies the operation $C_{0,1}$ on one qubit in the EPR pair $|\Psi_{0,0}\rangle$, then the state $|\Psi_{0,0}\rangle$ is changed into $|\Psi_{0,1}\rangle$. If there is no Eve in line the results must be completely opposite, i.e., if Bob obtains $|0\rangle$ ($|+\rangle$), then Alice gets $|1\rangle$ ($|-\rangle$). By this revised control mode, any intercept-and-resend eavesdropping can be detected.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 10304022 and 10374119.

References

- [1] B. A. Nguyen, Phys. Lett. A (in press).
- [2] Z. J. Zhang quant-ph/0403186 (2004).
- [3] Z. J. Zhang and Z. X. Man quant-ph/0403215 (2004).